

Debunking The Letter Of The Wicked Scholars To Amirul Muhmineen – Part 1

By Shaikh Abdullah Faisal

Saturday, 14th February 2015

NOTES TYPED LIVE BY AT19
EDITED AND FORMATTED BY AT38

The letter was sent to the Caliph in September 2014
Telling him he needs to change his ways
Because the dawla have taken a path away from the part of siratal Mustaqeem
These people who signed this letter believe in democracy
They side with the tawagheet and their character is not Islamic
They have dodgy aqeeda
They, themselves, are not in the fold of Islam
So they are not even worthy to write to him
The people who wrote the letter do not stand up to scrutiny

This letter is very easy to refute
Although it has done damage in the minds of some unsuspecting Muslims
Because they don't have the knowledge to refute the letter
If you are a regular Muslim who doesn't pay attention to your deen,
It will create doubt in the minds of some Muslims
This was the aim of the letter
Many people asked me to refute the letter because many are asking questions

They raised 24 points
I may refute only half of the letter (in one session) if time permits

Abu Dhar said, "I was with the Prophet (SAW) one day and I heard him saying: "There is something I fear for my Ummah than the Dajjal." It was then that I became afraid, so I said: "Oh Rasool Allah! Which thing is that?" He (SAW) said; "Misguided and astray scholars." [Musnad Ahmad (5/145) No. 21334 and 21335]

In the above hadith the Prophet (saw) said the wicked scholar is worse than the dajjal
They are playing the roles of Pharaohs magicians
The dajjal is easy to spot out because he has kafir on his forehead
And also is blind in his right eye
But the wicked scholars wear white thobe and wear a beard
They quote Quran and hadith from sahih Bukahri but put a spin on it
It is difficult for the lay person to know that the person is a wicked scholar
Especially if he is a graduate of an Islamic University

THE 24 POINTS RAISED BY THE WICKED SCHOLARS

POINT 1: It is forbidden in Islam to issue fatwas without all the necessary learning requirements.

Even then fatwas must follow Islamic legal theory as defined in the Classical texts. It is also forbidden to cite a portion of a verse from the Qur'an—or part of a verse—to derive a ruling without looking at everything that the Qur'an and Hadith teach related to that matter.

In other words, there are strict subjective and objective prerequisites for fatwas , and one cannot 'cherry-pick' Qur'anic verses for legal arguments without considering the entire Qur'an and Hadith .

What they are saying is correct

You cannot cherry pick some verses and leave others

In order for you to understand properly, you have to look at all the hadith talking it

If there are 100 hadith talking about Iman, you are not allowed to go to just one hadith

You have to take into consideration all hadith to understand it properly

But we have no evidence that the dawla did this

They don't treat the Quran like a buffet

They apply the Quran to the letter

They have hurled an accusation without backing it up with evidence

Narrated Ibn 'Abbas (RA): The Prophet (SAWS) said: "If people were given whatever they claimed (in disputes), some people would claim the lives and wealth of others; but the oath (of denial) must be taken by the defendant." [Agreed upon]. al-Baihaqi has reported with a Sahih (authentic) chain of narrators: "But the proof (Bayyinah) lies on the one who is making the claim, and the other (Yamin) must be taken by the one who rejects the claim." Bulugh al-Maram: Book 14, Hadith 1423

The burden of proof is on the claimant

Then bring your Book if you are truthful! (As-Saffat 37:157)

POINT 2: It is forbidden in Islam to issue legal rulings about anything without mastery of the Arabic language

This accusation is ridiculous

Because the Caliph has a PhD in Islamic Studies and he is an Arab

There are no grammatical errors in his Arabic

For you to obtain a PhD in Islamic Studies you have to have good Arabic

He has the same level of Arabic as my professors

You cannot teach in a University without having a PhD

His spokesman, Abu Muhammad al Adnani speaks perfect Arabic as well.

This point raised by the wicked scholars is ridiculous

The mujahideen don't speak broken Arabic

They speak Quranic Arabic

Look at the DVDs and CDs they release

It's the pagan Arabs of today who speak broken Arabic

Those who commit zina and drink alcohol

POINT 3. It is forbidden in Islam to oversimplify Shari'ah matters and ignore established Islamic sciences.

Why did the dawla say Islam is simple?

There are many reasons

Allah (swt) Himself use the word mubeen (clear) to describe the Quran

Ta, Seen. These are the verses of the Qur'an and a clear Book (An-Naml 27:2) SAHIH INTERNATIONAL

Alif, Lam, Ra. A book whose ayat (i.e., verses, signs) are set clear; thereafter expounded from very close to (Or: close to the Grace of) One Ever-Wise, Ever-Cognizant. (Hud 11:1) Dr. GhalIi

With clear signs and Books (We sent the Messengers). And We have also sent down unto you (O Muhammad SAW) the reminder and the advice (the Qur'an), that you may explain clearly to men what is sent down to them, and that they may give thought. (An-Nahl 16:44)

**This is why dawla takes the stance that Islam is simple and clear
If you don't take the stance that the dawla takes,
You are saying Muhammad (saw) did not fulfill his job
His job was to make things clear for the people and he did. It is for this reason Allah said in the following Ayah:**

This day, I have perfected your religion for you, completed My Favour upon you, and have chosen for you Islam as your religion. (Al-Ma'idah 5:3)

**Allah (swt) here says He has perfected the religion
Because Muhammad (saw) explained things clearly to the Ummah before he died. Then Allah said in the following Ayah:**

It is He Who has sent down to you (Muhammad SAW) the Book (this Qur'an). In it are Verses that are entirely clear, they are the foundations of the Book [and those are the Verses of Al-Ahkam (commandments, etc.), Al-Fara'id (obligatory duties) and Al-Hudud (legal laws for the punishment of thieves, adulterers, etc.)]; and others not entirely clear. So as for those in whose hearts there is a deviation (from the truth) they follow that which is not entirely clear thereof, seeking Al-Fitnah (polytheism and trials, etc.), and seeking for its hidden meanings, but none knows its hidden meanings save Allah.... (Aali Imran 3:7)

**These verses focus on the obligatory duties, Hudood (legal laws of punishment)
All these verses were made clear
In the above ayah, Allah is saying all the verses you need to know to understand Islam properly were made clear. As for the verses that are unclear, you don't need to know their meanings to understand the deen properly.**

Ex: Alif lam Meem, you don't need to know the meaning to understand Islam properly. Islam is simple and clear.

Whether you are sunni, sufi, shia, qadiyyani, you know that zina is haram. You know that alcohol, gambling, drugs, murder, etc are haram. You know sex before marriage is haram whether you are a shia, sunni or something else. The Quranic verses that we need to know to understand Islam properly are muhkamaat (free from ambiguities), and this is from the Mercy of Allah.

An-Nu'man ibn Bashir said, "I heard the Messenger of Allah (SAW), say, 'The halal is clear and the haram is clear... [al-Bukhari (52) and Muslim (1599)]

On the authority of Anas bin Malik: The Prophet said, "Facilitate things to people (concerning religious matters), and do not make it hard for them and give them good tidings and do not make them run away (from Islam)." [al-Bukhari (6125) and Muslim (1734)]

The wicked scholars are trying to say Islam is complicated. Some may say if Islam is simple, why do we have four schools of thought and the Imams differ at times? We reply by saying the four great Imams differ on small issues, not big issues. They do not differ on matters that are known of Islam by necessity. This is why the four great Imams agreed that Allah is above the Arsh and the Quran was revealed and not created.

These wicked scholars seek to use fiqh to divide the muslims in order to hang onto power; but there are no major differences between the four madhabs.

POINT 4. It is permissible in Islam [for scholars] to differ on any matter, except those fundamentals of religion that all Muslims must know.

They are trying to say here that you are not allow to punish people on matters that they differ on. They are trying to say the dawla is trying to force 'their version of Islam' on the muslims

Ex. The dawla forbid smoking

Some scholars say smoking is haram and others say it is makruh (disliked)

Smoking is suicide and a waste of money

And you were commanded by Allah not to kill yourself

And do not kill yourselves (nor kill one another). Surely, Allah is Most Merciful to you. (An-Nisa 4:29)

Those who die from lung cancer because of smoking have committed suicide

17:27 is also used to make smoking haram

Indeed, the wasteful are brothers of the devils, and ever has Satan been to his Lord ungrateful. (Al-Isra 17:27)

Many people fall asleep with cigarette in their hands and burn their houses
They kill themselves and kill their families

We are allowed to differ in minor matters,

But the dawla is punishing people for matters that the Ummah have agreed upon

Every muslim knows that the hand of thief should be cut

That the fornicator is flogged 100 lashes

That the adulterer is stoned to death

The homosexual should be killed

So the dawla only implements what the ummah knows by necessity

For example they threw a homosexual from a height three months ago and he died

No one can say that the dawla was wrong to kill a gay

The sahabah killed them and burnt their bodies

There is a difference of opinion concerning the punishment for the one who commits sodomy. The following are the opinions that were mentioned by Imam Ash-Shawkani in "Nayl Al-Awtar" , Vol. 7/p122-124.

- 1) That he is killed with the sword, then burnt. This is narrated from Abu Bakr and 'Ali Ibn Abi Talib.
- 2) That a wall should be collapsed upon him. This was the opinion of 'Umar and 'Uthman.
- 3) That he should be thrown from the highest building in the city, then that should be followed up with him being pelted with rocks. This was the opinion of Ibn 'Abbas.
- 4) That he is stoned. This is narrated by Al-Bayhaqi from 'Ali Ibn Abi Talib, Ash-Sha'bi, Az-Zuhri, Malik, Ahmad, Is'haq, and Ash-Shafi'i.
- 5) That they are burned. This was narrated by Al-Bayhaqi, that Abu Bakr gathered the Sahabah and 'Ali mentioned this, and the Sahabah agreed upon it. It was also mentioned by Al-Munthiri that it was done by Abu Bakr, 'Ali, 'Abdullah Ibn Az-Zubayr, and Hisham Ibn 'Abdil-Malik.
- 6) That he is stoned, then burnt with fire. This is narrated from the Sahabah agreeing upon it in the time of Abu Bakr.
- 7) That the punishment is the same as Zina, in that if he is a virgin, he is whipped, and if he is not, then he is stoned. That there is no prescribed punishment, rather it is left up to the Imam to perform Ta'zir, and this was narrated from Abu Hanifah, and one narration from Ash-Shafi'i, and others. Ash-Shawkani refutes the opinion of the punishment being the same as Zina, as well as the opinion that there is only Ta'zir, and supports the opinion that he is killed, but does not appear to specify which way of killing is the strongest. [Nayl Al-Awtar" , Vol. 7/p122-124]
- 8.) That there is no prescribed punishment, rather it is left up to the Imam to perform Ta'zir, and this was narrated from Abu Hanifah, and one narration from Ash-Shafi'i, and others. Ash-Shawkani refutes the opinion of the punishment being the same as Zina, as well as the opinion that there is only Ta'zir, and supports the opinion that he is killed, but does not appear to specify which way of killing is the strongest. [Nayl Al-Awtar", Vol. 7/p122-124]

You are not allowed to say the dawla is punishing people on matters that the scholars differ

POINT 5. It is forbidden in Islam to ignore the reality of contemporary times when deriving legal rulings.

They are trying to say the dawla is not aware of fiqh ul waqi (current events)

They are contradicting themselves because they say the dawla is media savvy

If this is true, why have their army reached over 200,000?

And why do they appeal to the youth from 80 different countries?

They provide food, water and electricity

They go door to door and give food to the people (sugar, flour, rice, milk etc)

If they are in close contact with their citizens, this means they are in touch with fiqh al waqi with the people.

This is a slander. They have launched the accusation. Where is their proof?

Narrated Ibn 'Abbas (RA): The Prophet (SAWS) said: "If people were given whatever they claimed (in disputes), some people would claim the lives and wealth of others; but the oath (of denial) must be taken by the defendant." [Agreed upon]. al-Baihaqi has reported with a Sahih (authentic) chain of narrators: "But the proof (Bayyinah) lies on the one who is making the claim, and the other (Yamin) must be taken by the one who rejects the claim." Bulugh al-Maram : Book 14, Hadith 1423

Which country provides free water, electricity..? No country
But the dawla does this
No European country does that
They are lying because we know otherwise

POINT 6. It is forbidden in Islam to kill the innocent.

They claim the dawla is killing innocent
The dawla is fighting an illegal occupation
War is a dirty business; there is no such thing as a clean war

Kofi Annan says the Iraqi war

illegal: <http://www.theguardian.com/world/2004/sep/16/iraq.iraq>

Kofi Annan tells BBC Iraqi was

illegal: http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/3661134.stm

The government of Iraq was imposed on them by the freemasons
The government of Iraq is a puppet government
They consider the people who conspire with kuffaar as 'innocent' people
The shia who are being killed cannot be considered innocent people
Let's look at their creed; it is treacherous and evil

To prove that they are evil to the core, we present you the creed of the shia below:
They believe that Aisha (ra) committed zina

SHIA HUJJAH: The Shia believe that at the time of Mahdi's return Imam Mahdi will resurrect Aisha (Prophet Mohammad's wife) and execute the law of Hudud on her, for committing Zina (adultery) during her marriage. (Al-Anwar Al-Numaniyah, vol. 1, p. 161, Tafsir al-Shafi, vol. 2, p. 108, Haq al-Yaqeen, vol. 2, p. 256, Hayat al-Qulub, vol. 2, p. 611)

They claim their Imams are above all the Prophets and Angels in rank:

SHIA HUJJAH: It is a fundamental principle of faith that our (Shia) Imams have ranks higher than the ranks of angels & God's prophets. (Khomeini's book, Hukuma Islamiya, p. 52)

They claim if you do mutah four time, you will attain the same status as the Rasool (saw).
This is not Muslims killing Muslims. They also say you can marry a girl for one hour:

SHIA HUJJAH: The Prophet (Sallallaahu Alayhi Wasallam) said: 'Whoever performs mut'ah once will receive the status of Hussain. Who ever performs mut'ah twice will receive the status of Hasan. Whoever performs mut'ah thrice will receive the status of Ali and who ever performs mut'ah four times will receive my status. (Tafseer Manhajul Sadiqeen: 1:356)

For you to be on the same status as Muhammad (saw), You can have money in your pocket and sleep with four different women by doing mutah four times.

SHIA HUJJAH: The present Quran is in an altered, corrupted and distorted form.. (Fatuhat-e-Shia, p. 129)

They believe the Quran is corrupted as mentioned above. They accuse Allah of lying and making mistakes:

SHIA HUJJAH: "Allah often lies and does mistakes" [Usool-al-Kafi, page 328, Yacoob Kulayni, Vol. 1]

How can they be innocent?

Ibn Hazm — "Shia are not even Muslims", when Christians debating him brought a Shia book as reference See al-Millal wa al-Nahl فصل في الملل والنحل 2/213

Where did the wicked scholars get their hujjah from saying Muslims are killing Muslims?
A real Muslim doesn't believe the Quran is corrupted because Allah promised to protect the Quran. A real Muslim doesn't believe to do mutah four times puts you on the same status as the Prophet Muhammad (saw). A real Muslim doesn't believe Allah is a liar who makes mistakes. A real Muslim doesn't believe in any of these things mentioned above. Imran Hussein said they are Muslims and it is muslims killing muslims

Uthaymeen said you can kill women and children of the kuffaar if they kill your women and children using 2:194 as his hujjah

The sacred month is for the sacred month, and for the prohibited things, there is the Law of Equality (Qisas). Then whoever transgresses the prohibition against you, you transgress likewise against him. And fear Allah, and know that Allah is with Al-Muttaqun (the pious - see V.2:2) . (Al-Baqarah 2:194)

Uthaymeen said if they are killing your women and children and the only way to deter them is to kill their women and children, it is allowed. Killing women and children of the kuffaar to take revenge is allowed in Islam

FATWA OF UTHAYMEEN SAYING YOU CAN KILL THE WOMEN AND CHILDREN OF THE KUFFAAR IF THEY KILL YOUR WOMEN AND CHILDREN: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cFQ1n1eY_hg

So to say that the dawla is killing innocent people is a slander
You cannot say the Yazidis are innocent people
They worship the shaitan - Iblees
They believe the shaitan was right not to bow down to Adam (as). Rebelling against Allah (swt) is praiseworthy in the eyes of the Yazidi's.
These people are a cancer in the body of the Ummah which the dawla is surgically removing from the body of the ummah

POINT 7. It is forbidden in Islam to kill emissaries, ambassadors, and diplomats; hence it is forbidden to kill journalists and aid workers.

This is a dirty war taken place, people who enter the Islamic State are not who they claim to be

A lot of these people have military backgrounds
The Islamic State cut off the head of Steven Sotloff
He had an Israeli passport and was a Mossad agent

SOTLOFF A MOSSAD AGENT: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=M1krCYkNoj4>

These wicked scholars are so jaahil about fiqh ul waaqi they do not realize that not everyone is a real journalist or an aid worker. But many of them are spies working for kaafir governments and apostate regimes.

The same applies to some of you who don't know fiqh ul waaqi

I despise people who don't pay attention to fiqh ul waaqi because they are dinosaurs. And a dinosaur belongs to the Jurassic Park.

When they ask Israel for an explanation, they said no comment

ISRAEL KEEPS QUIET ABOUT SOTLOFF: <http://www.timesofisrael.com/why-is-israel-still-not-formally-confirming-that-sotloff-was-a-citizen/>

Sotloff was a Mossad agent with military background

Also, a man from Switzerland used a smartphone to give the location of the mujahideen

Swiss aid worker criticised for tweeting location of Isil

target: <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/worldnews/islamic-state/11131349/Swiss-aid-worker-criticised-for-tweeting-location-of-Isil-target.html>

He was acting as an aid worker

These are not my words, read the daily telegraph (above link)

These wicked scholars who claim you cannot kill aid workers, not everybody is an aid worker

I don't believe in killing genuine aid workers

I put out a video begging for the life of Alan Henning because he was genuine

If you are invited in the dawla and you follow their rules, they don't kill you

Didn't a German journalist enter the Islamic State and came back safe?

Jurgen Todenhofer (Western Journalist) spent 10 days with the dawla and Recorded their activities: <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/middle-east/inside-isis-the-first-western-journalist-ever-given-access-to-the-islamic-state-has-just-returned--and-this-is-what-he-discovered-9938438.html>

He spent 10 days and no one bothered him

Because he followed their rules

He didn't reveal their location with the GPS

Many of you aren't aware because you don't pay attention to fiqh ul waaqi

When a war is taking place, everybody sends their spies.

Because war is a dirty game

Soldier Executes 'Russian Spies' in Islamic State Video

: <http://foreignpolicy.com/2015/01/13/kazakh-child-soldier-executes-russian-spies-in-islamic-state-video/>

Well, they say we don't kill emissaries:

In 1260 CD, Muzaffar Saifuddeen Qutuz (king of Egypt) received a letter from the Tatars (Mongol). They wrote in the letter that they killed the Caliph Musta'sim saying they have turned women into widows, children orphans and they, the Egyptians, were the last stronghold of Islam left to conquer.

He called the Muslim leader of Egypt a coward, the letter was very nasty. They said they are coming to get him. The leader of Egypt held a Shura meeting. After the Shura meeting he chopped off the heads of the three Mongol's messengers and put them on spikes in Cairo and rallied the Muslims of Egypt to jihad. Muzaffar Saifuddeen Qutuz told the muslims that they are going to fight Jihad and will not surrender to the Tatars because Egypt was the last remaining stronghold of Islam; hence, to surrender is not an option.

So, who told you we don't kill ambassadors?

These were the three ambassadors for the Mongols and they were massacred by the Muslim King of Egypt.

The great Shafi scholar, Al Izz Ibn Abdus Salam, was in the Shurah meeting and he did not object to the decision of killing the three ambassadors of the Tatars.

All the scholars of Islam attest to the fact that Al Izz ibn Abdus Salam was a great scholar.

The people who enter into the dawla, sneaked into the dawla under false pretenses
The German journalist was given permission.

Ambassadors don't sneak into a country
They come through the front door
The journalists are the propaganda machine of the dajjal
That's why the dawla killed the 'journalists'

Some journalists used cartoons to insult Muhammad (saw)
They are the foot soldiers of Iblis
Their war is called propaganda warfare

POINT 8. Jihad in Islam is defensive war. It is not permissible without the right cause, the right purpose and without the right rules of conduct.

The Hadith Jihad ul naf - Jihad Akbar was quoted in the letter they sent to the Caliph

"We have come back from the minor jihad to the major one" someone asked: "What is the major Jihad O Messenger of Allah?" he replied: "Jihad of the nafs," (This report, collected by Bayhaqi is fabricated as mentioned in Mannaar as-Subl of Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyyah)

Ibn Taymiyyah (rh) said: "This hadith has no sources and nobody whomsoever in the field of Islamic knowledge has narrated it. Jihad against the disbelievers is the most noble of actions and moreover it is the most important action for mankind." [al-Furqan (pg. 56)]

Only the jaahil and ignoramus quotes this Hadith because it is fabricated
If they were real scholars, they wouldn't have quoted this Hadith
The letter they sent to the Caliph is not worth the paper it is written on
It is full of lies and foolishness. Moreover, it is full of kufr and irja.
You would fail, in my University (Muhammad ibn Saud), if you bring a rubbish fabricated Hadith in any of your essays or dissertations.

The below fatwa of Shaykh Bin Baaz (ra) said the greatest Jihad is to go out to meet the enemy, not stay at home.

Bin Baaz said the highest form of Jihad is to go to the battlefield Shaykh 'Abd al-'Azeez ibn Baaz (may Allaah have mercy on him) said: "Jihad is of various kinds, with one's self, one's wealth, by making du'aa', by teaching and guiding, by helping to do good in any way. The greatest form of jihad is jihad with one's self i.e., going oneself and fighting, followed by jihad with one's wealth, jihad by speaking out and guiding others. Da'wah is also part of jihad. But going out oneself to fight in jihad is the highest form. (Fataawa al-Shaykh Ibn Baaz, 7/334, 335)

The good thing about Shaykh ibn Baaz was that he didn't write kufr because he was careful about his legacy. He didn't want his legacy to be irja, kufr, shirk and zandaqa.

**The Arabs are careful about their legacy
Even Abu Sufyan (before becoming Muslim) spoke the truth about Muhammad (saw)
Because he didn't want to be remembered in history as a liar.**

**There are two types of Jihad: Offensive, talib and Defensive, daf3.
These wicked scholars are trying to marginalize jihad to one type - defensive jihad
Allah (swt) has commanded us to do offensive jihad in the following Quranic verses:**

EVIDENCES FROM QURAN

Then when the Sacred Months (the 1st, 7th, 11th, and 12th months of the Islāmic calendar) have passed, then kill the Mushrikūn (see V.2:105) wherever you find them, and capture them and besiege them, and prepare for them each and every ambush. But if they repent and perform As-Salāt (Iqāmat-as-Salāt), and give Zakāt, then leave their way free. Verily, Allāh is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful. (At-Tawbah 9:5)

Verily, the number of months with Allāh is twelve months (in a year), so was it ordained by Allāh on the Day when He created the heavens and the earth; of them four are Sacred, (i.e. the 1st, the 7th, the 11th and the 12th months of the Islāmic calendar). That is the right religion, so wrong not yourselves therein, and fight against the Mushrikūn (polytheists, pagans, idolaters, disbelievers in the Oneness of Allāh) collectively[], as they fight against you collectively. But know that Allāh is with those who are Al-Muttaqūn (the pious - see V.2:2). (At-Tawbah 9:36)

Fight against those who (1) believe not in Allāh, (2) nor in the Last Day, (3) nor forbid that which has been forbidden by Allāh and His Messenger (4) and those who acknowledge not the religion of truth (i.e. Islām) among the people of the Scripture (Jews and Christians), until they pay the Jizyah[] with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued. (At-Tawbah 9:29)

The Holy Prophet (saw) has commanded us to do offensive Jihad in the following Hadiths:

EVIDENCE FROM HADITH FOR OFFENSIVE JIHAD

It has been narrated on the authority of Abu Huraira that the Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) said: One who died but did not fight in the way of Allah nor did he express any desire (or determination) for Jihad died the death of a hypocrite. [Sahih Muslim, Book 20, Hadith 4696]

On the authority of Abdullah ibn Umar (RA), that the Messenger of Allah (SAW) said: "I have been ordered to fight against the people until they testify that there is none worthy of worship except Allah and that Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah, and until they establish the salah and pay the zakat. And if they do that then they will have gained protection from me for their lives and property, unless [they commit acts that are punishable] in Islam, and their reckoning will be with Allah." [al-Bukhari (25) and Muslim (22)]

Narrated By Ibn Aun: "I wrote a letter to Nafi and Nafi wrote in reply to my letter that the Prophet had suddenly attacked Bani Mustaliq without warning while they were heedless and their cattle were being watered at the places of water. Their fighting men were killed and their women and children were taken as captives; the Prophet got Juwairiya on that day. Nafi said that Ibn 'Umar had told him the above narration and that Ibn 'Umar was in that army." [al-Bukhari (2541) and Muslim (1730)]

POINT 9. It is forbidden in Islam to declare people non-Muslim unless he (or she) openly declares disbelief.

The dawla has made takfir on the twelvers and the Alawites
Let us hear what Ibn Hazm has to say about the Rafida - aka twelvers:

FATWA of IBN HAZM ON THE TWELVERS OF IRAN

Ibn Hazm — "Shia are not even Muslims", when Christians debating him brought a Shia book as reference See al-Millal wa al-Nahl فصل في الملل والنحل 2/213

FATWA of IBN TAYMIYYAH ON ALAWITES OF SYRIA

He knows them well because he was from Syria
This is the sect Bashar Al Assad belongs to

"...Is it permissible for a Muslim (man or woman) to marry them (Nusayris)? Is it permissible to eat the meat of cattle they slaughter? What is the ruling on eating the cheese made from the rennet of their sacrificed animals? What is the ruling on using their dishes and clothes? Is it permissible to bury them with Muslims? Is it permissible to employ them in Muslim ports and handing the ports over to them? Or is it obligatory upon the ruler to cut them off and employ others from among qualified Muslim men; and is there a sin in delaying their expulsion?" All Praise is for Allah, Lord of all worlds. These people named "Al-Nusayriyyah", and other groups from among the Qaraamitah and Baatiniyyah, are greater disbelievers than the Jews and Christians. Nay, they are greater disbelievers than most of the mushrikeen (polytheists from other than Ahl ul-Kitab), and their harm to the Ummah of Muhammad, sallallahu alaihi wa sallam, is greater than the harm of the disbelievers who are in war with Muslims, such as at-Tatar, disbelieving Europeans and others. For they present themselves in front of ignorant Muslims as supporters and advocates of Ahl ul Bayt, while in reality they do not believe in Allah, or the Messenger, or the Book, or [Allah's] orders, or prohibitions, or reward, or punishment, or Paradise, or Fire, or in one of the Messengers before Muhammad, sallallahu `alaihi wa sallam, or in a religion from among previous religions. Rather, they take the words of Allah and His Messenger, known to the scholars of Muslims, and they interpret them based on their fabrications, claiming that their interpretations are "hidden knowledge" ("ilm `ul-baatin"), such as what the questioner mentioned and more. They have no limit in their unbelief with regards to Allah's Names, His verses, and their distortion of the Speech of Allah, the Most High. [Majmoo` al-Faatwaa 35/145]

Ibn Taymiyyah made takfir on them and considers them worse than Jews and Christians

There is a thing called Nawaqid Al Islam (nullifiers of Islam)
If you say there is nothing like that then you are teaching lopsided Islam
Muhammad ibn Abdul Wahhab put together 10 things that nullify our Islam

Muhammad ibn Abdul Wahhab - The 10 Nullifiers of Islam: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=awD4K3QbzNA&list=PLxpC5y1NOG5d4CgwSa6gNw8WfuTMFatUU&index=8>

One of the 10 things that nullifies our Islam, is taking sides with the kuffar against the Muslims like Muhammad ibn Abdul Wahhab explained in the fatwa below:

Sheikh Muhammad ibn Abdul Wahab, may Allah have mercy on him, gathered ten actions that negate one's Islam (Nawaqid ul-'Ashr), the eighth one of which states: "Assisting the disbelievers (against the believers) (Mudhaharatul Mushrikeen) and supporting them against the believers (is from the actions that negates of one's faith). The Proof being the statement of Allah, "And if any amongst you takes them as Auliya', then surely he is one of them. Verily, Allah guides not those people who are the Zalimun (polytheists and wrong-doers and unjust). (The Quran 5:51)."

The dawla is using the above fatwa of Muhammad ibn Abdul Wahab to make takfir on anyone who joins the coalition of the crusaders to fight the dawla.

One of the signatories of the letter is Hamza Yusuf
He released a video on YouTube saying you can pray to Muhammad (saw) in the grave and ask for what you want because he is still alive in the grave

HAMZA YUSUF: PRAY TO MUHAMMAD: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TFezsaQnzshk>

Hamza Yusuf is a kaafir.
He is trying to change Islam like what Paul did to Christianity
He promotes kufr, shirk and zandaqa to please and appease the taghoot

Shaikh Bouti was praising Assad, he was a wicked scholar
The mujahideen killed him because he was a wicked scholar.

Ibn Taymiyyah made takfir on the wicked scholars in the following fatwa:

"A scholar who abandons what has learnt from the Quran and the Sunnah and follows a ruler who does not rule in accordance with the teaching of Allah and His Messenger is an apostate and a disbeliever who deserves punishment in this world and in the hereafter " Fatawa Ibn Taymiyyah, Volume 35/373

Allah (swt) has made takfir on many occasions in the Holy Quran as stated below:

Eat not (O believers) of that (meat) on which Allāh's Name has not been pronounced (at the time of the slaughtering of the animal), for sure it is Fisq (a sin and disobedience of Allāh). And certainly, the Shayātin (devils) do inspire their friends (from mankind) to dispute with you, and if you obey them [by making AlMaytatah (a dead animal) legal by eating it], then you would indeed be Mushrikūn (polytheists) [because they (devils and their friends) made lawful to you to eat that which Allāh has made unlawful to eat and you obeyed them by

considering it lawful to eat, and by doing so you worshipped them, and to worship others besides Allāh is polytheism]. (Al-An'am 6:121)

If you ask them (about this), they declare: "We were only talking idly and joking." Say: "Was it at Allāh, and His Ayāt (proofs, evidences, verses, lessons, signs, revelations, etc.) and His Messenger (SAW) that you were mocking?" (At-Tawbah 9:65)

Make no excuse; you have disbelieved after you had believed. If We pardon some of you, We will punish others amongst you because they were Mujrimûn (disbelievers, polytheists, sinners, criminals, etc.). (At-Tawbah 9:66)

Surely, the religion (i.e. the worship and the obedience) is for Allāh only. And those who take Auliya' (protectors and helpers) besides Him (say): "We worship them only that they may bring us near to Allāh." Verily, Allāh will judge between them concerning that wherein they differ. Truly, Allāh guides not him who is a liar, and a disbeliever. (Az-Zumar 39:3)

The reason why the dawla is fighting against the apostate rulers is because they dismantle the Shariah. Allah has made takfir on those who dismantle the Shariah below:

And whoever does not judge by what Allah has revealed - then it is those who are the disbelievers. (Al-Ma'idah 5:44) [Sahih International]

We have seen the kufr of the twelvers that the dawla are killing

They are like hyenas

They call America the great satan, but when Obama wrote a letter to Khamini they quickly joined the coalition to kill Sunnis en masse. So now Iran is bombing the Muslims of Iraq You said America was the great satan but you joined them to kill Sunnis in great multitudes?!

Because Shia find it natural to join the kuffar to kill Sunnis. It was a Rafida, ibn Al Alqami, who conspired with the Tatars to invade Bagdad in 1258 CE to kill \$1M Muslims. Shias will forever stab the Sunnis in the back. This is their modus operandi according to history. A shia is a hyena - they have no honor

Question 1: Why did the Dawla made takfir on Yasir Qadhi?

Answer 1: People like Yasir Qashi and Hamza Yusuf are not considered muslims by the dawla
Yasir Qadhi uses Islam to seek fame and fortune

He doesn't believe in al walaa wal baraa

He doesn't believe in Kufr bit taghut

They are in bed with the taghut

They don't believe in Shariah, jihad and khilafah

They want to be popular so they stay away from certain topics

They don't want to step on anyone's toes and lose their popularity

People who want to become famous shaikhs avoid controversial topics and stick to topics that will make them famous. Just speak about marriage and other general topics and every munafiq and kaafir will love you

Question 2: Asalaamualaikum Shaikh. I'm recently hearing western academics trying to milk the apostasy law (to demonise Islam). How's the best way to respond especially with regards to people born into Muslim families?

Answer 2: According to the rules of democracy

You are free to apostate and slander the Prophet (saw) in a democracy

But you should exercise self-restraint

Bill Donahue said if the French who painted Muhammad (saw) in cartoons were not such narcissists, they would still be alive today. Even though Democracy allows you to apostate and insult Muhammad (saw), you should exercise self-restraint and wisdom because when you insult Muhammad (saw), you insult all the Muslims and not every Muslim can cope with an insult. Not every Muslim is begharat i.e. without honor.

Bill Donahue regarding statement: <http://insider.foxnews.com/2015/01/08/megyn-kelly-takes-bill-donohue-over-charlie-hebdo-comments>

You can't just insult Islam because not all muslims are void of jealousy

The solution is to make hijrah from darul kufr if you are fearful that your kids will apostate from Islam in the name of Democracy.

Question 3: Sheikh how do the people who claim that Islamic State have no scholars have the arrogance to say that, it is as if they know every scholar in the world & know their opinions, why is this misconception so widespread?

Answer 3: Some Muslims believe that if you don't get airtime on the TV with the taghoot, you are not a legitimate scholar like on Peace TV, Huda TV, Guide Us TV etc

Because of that they say the Dawla has no scholars

They have many scholars that endorse the dawla

And many that live in the dawla

You are very narrow-minded if that is your mentality

Question 4: How do we deal with those who reject the Dawla ?

Answer 4: A person rejects the dawla because he listens to CNN, Fox News and BBC

This is where they take their deen from

If a person rejects the dawla, don't make takfir on him right away

He may be confused

He may need time to accept the dawla

If it is because he believes in democracy, he is a kaffir

Democracy is a religion. It is the religion of the shaytan in the freemasons

If he rejects the dawla because he thinks the tawheed of the dawla is wrong, he is a kaafir

Like Hamza Yusuf who believes we are wrong because we don't pray to the grave

He has ideological differences with the dawla

But if he rejects because he hears propaganda, he may be confused

Don't make takfir on him

We can't make takfir on Jabhatul Nushra

Jabhatul Nushra's status is Bughaat (rebels)

Another person rejects the dawla because he believes in democracy
They are kuffaar
The FSA are fighting for democracy and they reject the Dawla
Another person rejects the dawla because they are pagans
Like the Sufis - Hamza Yusuf falls within this category
He is a grave worshipper

HAMZA YUSUF: PRAY TO MUHAMMAD: <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TFezsaQnzhk>

He believes you can pray to Muhammad (saw) in the grave

Another person rejects the dawla because he says
He has already given bayah to the king of Saudi Arabia
His name is Assim Al Hakem - a Saudi Salafi scholar
Their status is that they are major hypocrites
Salah behind them is batil
They are in bed with the taghoot
How can you give your bayah to a king who has joined the coalition of the crusaders to kill Muslims in Iraq and Sham? He even kill Muslims in Afghanistan as well.
You cannot be a muslim until you reject the taghoot

So different people reject the dawla for different reasons
Some are confused, hypocrites, mushrikeen
Assim Al Hakeem is a fake Muslim.

Question 5: Is there an Arabic book I can read about dar ul harb ?

Answer 5: Ahkam Dhimmi by Ibn Qayyum Al Jawziyya

The people are weak in imaan and so many things they can't handle
So some knowledge I have to hide, especially the knowledge about darul harb.

Narrated By Abu Huraira: I have memorized two kinds of knowledge from Allah's Apostle. I have propagated one of them to you and if I propagated the second, then my pharynx (throat) would be cut (i.e. killed). [Sahih Bukhari, Vol 1, Book 3, Hadith #121]

Question 6: If al Baghdadi's authority is over the whole ummah, does the shura that puts him in his position have to consist of leaders from the entire ummah or are the leaders of Iraq and Sham sufficient?

Answer 6: This will be answered in the next dars insha'Allah
The leaders of Iraq and Sham are sufficient
According to the fatwa of Imam Nawawi
Because they fought the unlawful occupation of the taghoot; hence, they attain the status of firqa najia, taifa mansoorah and ahlul hall wal aqd

Questions 7: As salaamu alaikum Shaikh what about Nouman Ali Khan "con"?

Answer 7: The mujahideen don't see him as a real scholar
They see him as seeking fame and fortune, working for the taghoot
They do not wake up the Muslims
Their job is to turn the muslims from lions into goats
They don't speak on important aspects of Islam.
They speak on topics to make themselves become popular

Question 8: What is the response to people who are saying that the Dawla is funded by the US?

Answer 8: This is a big lie
The US is killing the citizens of the dawla on a daily basis
They say that the dawla practice FGM (female genital mutilation)
They say they sell oil to Israel
They say the Caliph is a Jew
All of that is propaganda from the rafida (shia)

Question 9: Has Boko haram given bayaa?

Answer 9: Boko Haram has hinted they have given bayah but
I'm not sure if the fight of boko haram is legitimate
Ask a Nigerian scholar to pass the verdict whether or not their jihad is legitimate or illegitimate
I don't know the fiqh ul waaqi of Nigeria and Boko Haram, so I prefer to say no comment.

Debunking The Letter Of The Wicked Scholars To Amirul Muhmineen – Part 2 By Shaikh Abdullah Faisal Monday, 16th February 2015

NOTES TYPED LIVE BY AT19
EDITED AND FORMATTED BY AT38

POINT 10: It is forbidden in Islam to harm or mistreat—in any way—Christians or any 'People of the Scripture'.

**People of the Book means Jews and Christians
This statement is too general
Because Christians and Jews have done a lot of bad things to the ummah
The Serbs killed 1 million Muslims in Bosnia in the early 1990's**

And these are orthodox Christians
And they killed another 1/2 million Muslims in Kosovo

CHRISTIAN ARABS HELP JEWS TO KILL 22,000 MUSLIMS (SABRA AND SHATILA): http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sabra_and_Shatila_massacre

In 1982, the Christians in Lebanon took sides with the Jews to massacre 22,000 Muslims in the refugee camp of Sabra and Shatila. The Israeli defense minister at the time was Ariel Sharon (may the curse of Allah be upon him). The Christians do not have a good record with the Muslims because they always take sides with the enemies of the Muslims to kill the Muslim in our modern era. Muslims who live in Europe and America are being mistreated for the sake of their religion and race. The bible belt in America contains the most racist states. On December 4th in Missouri, a man killed a 15 year old Hafiz of Quran with his car. And more recently, February 10, 2015, three Muslims were killed in Chapel Hill by a gunman just because they were Muslims.

Muslim-hating man rams Missouri teen with SUV, severing his legs and killing him: <http://www.rawstory.com/rs/2014/12/muslim-hating-man-rams-missouri-teen-with-suv-severing-his-legs-and-killing-him/>

Chapel Hill killings: <http://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/craig-hicks-indicted-murder-charges-chapel-hill-killings-n307101>

During my tour of Kenya, I was also treated very badly by the Christians in 2010. If Christians were good people, why do they establish gay churches all over America and Europe?

Bush and Blair killed 1 million Muslims in Iraq in the name of Christianity

George Bush: 'God told me to end the tyranny in Iraq': <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2005/oct/07/iraq.usa>

FORMER PRESIDENT BUSH SAID THIS IS A CRUSADE AND IT WILL TAKE A WHILE: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7TRVcnX8Vsw>

Bush said it was a Crusade and the Crusade will take some time
Even though Bush is a compulsive liar, Allah (swt) forced him to speak the truth

During the crusade, they disgraced Muslims in Abu Ghuraib
By stripping them naked and using dogs to bite them

Abu Ghuraib torture and prisoner abuse: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abu_Ghuraib_torture_and_prisoner_abuse

Fidel Castro was disgusted at this and used the pictures to get back at USA. Because Europe and America like to preach human rights to mankind, yet they themselves are the worst violators of human rights.

Castro uses Abu Ghraib pictures to hit back at

US: <http://www.taipeitimes.com/News/world/archives/2004/12/19/2003215792>

The War on Gaza is a tragedy, it is genocide

The Gaza strip is the biggest open prison in the world. All Christian nations support the brutal occupation of Palestine and they turn a blind eye to Jewish crimes against the Ummah of Muhammad (saw).

We are only allowed to be good to those Jews and Christians, who are good to us. We are not allowed to be good to all Christians and Jews. Apparently, most Jews and Christians carry hatred and enmity against the Ummah.

Allah does not forbid you to deal justly and kindly with those who fought not against you on account of religion and did not drive you out of your homes. Verily, Allah loves those who deal with equity. (Al-Mumtahinah 60:8)

Allah (swt) says in the above Ayah that you should be kind to those who are kind to you. Those who didn't fight you for the sake of your deen. The letter by the wicked scholars said otherwise, the wicked scholars would like us to be good to all Jews and Christians. Even the ones who are killing us. They also would like the Ummah of Muhammad (saw) to abandon Jihad to please and appease the kuffar.

During my South African tour of 2008, the South Africans told me that their apartheid was a walk in the park compared to the apartheid in Palestine. The most brutal apartheid in human history is the apartheid in Israel.

Innocence of Muslims made by Coptic

Christians: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Innocence_of_Muslims

What about the film called the Innocence of Muslims?

This film was so offensive it caused the Muslims of Libya to burn down the American Embassy and kill the American Ambassador J. Christopher Stevens, on September 12, 2012; even though the American Government knew nothing about the film. This film was made by an Egyptian (Coptic) Christian who portrayed the rasul (saw) as a fool and a pedophile

Arab Christians have always been vehement and demonstrable in their hatred towards Muslims

SYRIAN CHRISTIANS BACK ASSAD: <http://www.christianitytoday.com/ct/2011/july/syria-christians-assad.html>

In Syria today, they conspire with Assad to massacre the Muslims

The wicked scholars forgot to quote the above ayah (60:8)

Saying we should be kind to those who are kind to us

What about Guantanamo Bay?

The Christians are the ones who are ill treating the muslims. It is for this reason, Bush said this is a crusade and it will take some time.

A Christian man said he was incited by Fox News to burn down a masjid in Toledo Ohio on September 30, 2012

FOX NEWS INCITES HATRED AGAINST MUSLIMS SAYS

ARSONIST: <http://www.splcenter.org/blog/2012/12/20/mosque-arsonist-pleads-guilty-implicates-fox-news-in-fueling-hate/>

A Christian woman burned the Quran live on YouTube

AMERICAN CHRISTIAN WOMAN BURNS THE

QURAN: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tIPPSI4G_N8

I have given you a catalogue of crimes by the Christians against the Muslims

What about the Crusades?

They went to Jerusalem to kill Muslims and it is said the blood ran knee high to the horses

The streets were flowing with muslim blood

During the Spanish Inquisition, they would kill you

If you were caught taking a bath on Friday

They will behead you if they see that you are circumcised

Spanish inquisition: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Spanish_Inquisition

Even Obama mentioned the crimes of the Crusaders in his latest speech

Christians are swimming in Muslim blood and they conspire with Jews to kill Muslims

The Jews say Jesus (as) is a bastard child and Mary is a harlot

In spite of that, the Christians love Jews

JEWS SINGING: "JESUS IS A BASTARD": <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KliagV1uYDs>

O you who believe! Take not the Jews and the Christians as Auliya' (friends, protectors, helpers, etc.), they are but Auliya' to one another. And if any amongst you takes them as Auliya', then surely he is one of them. Verily, Allah guides not those people who are the Zalimun (polytheists and wrongdoers and unjust). (Al-Ma'idah 5:51)

The Christians all over the world support Israel even though some Jews don't support Israel

Bush and Blair aren't Jews but they are Zionist

A Zionist is worse than a Jew

They support the apartheid that is taken place in Israel

There are 100's of gay churches all over the US, we are not impressed with them

Not after they invaded Iraq and no weapons of mass destruction were found

Not after what they did in the crusades – they used to kill and eat muslims

They also use the movie "the American Sniper" to inspire killing of Muslims

Many muslims were killed in USA after the release of the film

RAPE OF IRAQI WOMEN BY AMERICAN SOLDIERS IN THE NAME OF

JESUS: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dLRSEpU2-4w>

We are not impressed with them because of their films and evil character

Middle passage: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Middle_Passage

The middle passage in which millions of blacks were taken from Africa to the Americas during the slave trade. According to history, 100 million blacks were thrown in the sea to be eaten by sharks. All of this was done in the name of Jesus.

So Christians don't have a good track record in the past or present
Let me remind the wicked scholars that Allah (swt) told us to wage war
Against the Jews and Christians in surah 9:29

Fight against those who (1) believe not in Allah, (2) nor in the Last Day, (3) nor forbid that which has been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger (4) and those who acknowledge not the religion of truth (i.e. Islam) among the people of the Scripture (Jews and Christians), until they pay the Jizyah[] with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued. (At-Tawbah 9:29)

You are telling us to show love towards the Christians, but they are not showing love to us.
The wicked scholars are suffering from inferiority complex, low self esteem
and Stockholm syndrome i.e. to fall in love with your oppressors.

POINT 11: It is obligatory to consider Yazidis as People of the Scripture.

They are saying that the caliph should treat the Yazidis like Jews and Christians
They are trying to change Islam with this point
They have made up their own religion
Whenever you make up your own religion, you are called a zindeeq (heretic)

We cannot treat the Yazidis as people of the book
People of the book are Jews and Christians
These are people who worship the Shaitan instead of Allah
They believe the shaitan was right by refusing to obey Allah (swt) when he didn't bow down to Adam (as).
They are proud of the Shaitan and they see him as their mentor
People of the Book means Jews and Christians

Shaikh Ibn Baaz said we can only take jizya from Jews, Christians and the Zoroastrians

**There is no compulsion to accept Islam. Some friends say that whoever does not enter Islam, that is his choice and he should not be forced to become Muslim, quoting as evidence the verses in which Allah says (interpretation of the meaning): "And had your Lord willed, those on earth would have believed, all of them together. So, will you (O Muhammad) then compel mankind, until they become believers" [Yoonus 10:99]
"There is no compulsion in religion" [al-Baqarah 2:256] What is your opinion concerning that?**

Praise be to Allah. The scholars explained that these two verses, and other similar verses, have to do with those from whom the jizyah may be taken, such as Jews, Christians and Magians (Zoroastrians). They are not to be forced, rather they are to be given the choice between becoming Muslim or paying the jizyah. Other scholars said that this applied in the beginning, but was subsequently abrogated by Allah's command to fight and wage jihad. So

whoever refuses to enter Islam should be fought when the Muslims are able to fight, until they either enter Islam or pay the jizyah if they are among the people who may pay jizyah. The kuffaar should be compelled to enter Islam if they are not people from whom the jizyah may be taken, because that will lead to their happiness and salvation in this world and in the Hereafter. Obliging a person to adhere to the truth in which is guidance and happiness is better for him than falsehood. Just as a person may be forced to do the duty that he owes to other people even if that is by means of imprisonment or beating, so forcing the kaafirs to believe in Allah alone and enter into the religion of Islam is more important and more essential, because this will lead to their happiness in this world and in the Hereafter. This applies unless they are People of the Book, i.e., Jews and Christians, or Magians, because Islam says that these three groups may be given the choice: they may enter Islam or they may pay the jizyah and feel themselves subdued. Some of the scholars are of the view that others may also be given the choice between Islam and jizyah, but the most correct view is that no others should be given this choice, rather these three groups are the only ones who may be given the choice (i.e. Jews, Christians and Zoroastrians), because the Prophet (peace and blessings of Allah be upon him) fought the kuffaar in the Arabian Peninsula and he only accepted their becoming Muslim. And Allah says:

But if they repent [by rejecting Shirk (polytheism) and accept Islamic Monotheism] and perform As-Salaah (Iqaamat-as-Salaah), and give Zakaah, then leave their way free. Verily, Allah is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful" [al-Tawbah 9:5]

He did not say, "if they pay the jizyah". The Jews, Christians and Magians are to be asked to enter Islam; if they refuse then they should be asked to pay the jizyah. If they refuse to pay the jizyah then the Muslims must fight them if they are able to do so. Allah says (interpretation of the meaning):

"Fight against those who (1) believe not in Allah, (2) nor in the Last Day, (3) nor forbid that which has been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger (Muhammad), (4) and those who acknowledge not the religion of truth (i.e. Islam) among the people of the Scripture (Jews and Christians), until they pay the Jizyah with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued" [al-Tawbah 9:29]

And it was proven that the Prophet (peace and blessings of Allah be upon him) accepted the jizyah from the Magians, but it was not proven that the Prophet (peace and blessings of Allah be upon him) or his companions (may Allah be pleased with them) accepted the jizyah from anyone except the three groups mentioned above.

Majmoo' Fataawa wa Maqaalaat li'l-Shaykh Ibn Baaz, 6/219

The Yazidis should embrace Islam or face the sword

What Shaykh Bin Baaz is saying is we should only take jizya from three groups:

The Jews, Christians and Zoroastrians. It is bid'ah for us to take jizya from devil or idol worshippers and allow them to live side by side with us in the Islamic State. The above fatwa of Bin Baaz is enough evidence for this stance the Dawla has taken in regards to the Yazidis.

POINT 12: The re-introduction of slavery is forbidden in Islam. It was abolished by universal consensus.

They are talking about a UN declaration in 1953

Where the UN said slavery was abolished

The UN sees itself as the sole body to legislate for mankind

So the UN is competing with Allah (swt) to be the legislator
Muslims do not respect the UN
The UN did not intervene to save the lives of Muslims in Bosnia, Kosovo,
CAR (Central African Republic) – a crusade is taking place there under the eye of the UN
The UN is an organization which is a complete waste of space
Don't forget the massacre of Muslims by Jews in Gaza
By Buddhist in Burma, by Hindus in Kashmir
The UN turned a blind eye to all these massacres

So when UN said that slavery was abolished
This declaration isn't worth the paper it is written on
Slavery in an integral part of Islam

The sahabah took slaves after many battles
You want to call them sex-slaves? Ok go ahead
If it makes you feel good to say "sex slave", go ahead
But we call them "mulk yameen" (Right hand possess)
The fatwa below is clear evidence that the mujahideen are allowed concubines after a battle:

Shaykh al-Shanqeeti (may Allaah have mercy on him) said: The reason why a person may be taken as a slave is his being a kaafir and waging war against Allaah and His Messenger. If Allaah enables the Muslims who are striving and sacrificing their lives and their wealth and all that Allaah has given them to make the word of Allaah supreme over the kaafirs, then He allows them to enslave the kuffaar when they capture them, unless the ruler chooses to free them or to ransom them, if that serves the interests of the Muslims. [Adwa' al-Bayaan, 3/387]

It is very easy to refute these wicked scholars because they speak from their aql with no evidence.

You are not allowed to apologise to the kuffaar about right hand possess
A person captured can become a sex slave if they are kuffaar
Women can't be killed so we take them as slaves
The evidence is in 23:5-7

**And those who guard their chastity (i.e. private parts, from illegal sexual acts) (Al-Mu'minun 23:5)
Except from their wives or (the captives and slaves) that their right hands possess, for then, they are free from blame; (Al-Mu'minun 23:6)
But whoever seeks beyond that, then those are the transgressors; (Al-Mu'minun 23:7)**

Having sex with your wives or right hand possess is praiseworthy, not blameworthy
All the scholars use this ayah to say it is ok to have sex with your right hand possess.
We don't have to marry our right hand possess to have sex with them according to the above ayah

There is no limit on the number of right hand possesses you can have
A Caliph during the Ottoman Empire had 500 right hand possesses
When you impregnate your concubine, the child is born free because the father is not a slave
There is no limit in Quran or Sunnah on the number of right hand possess you can have
You can have male or female slaves who work for you

These scholars don't understand Islam nor do they know Islamic History
There are Abbasid caliphs who were sons of Persian concubines
They are so jaahil (ignorant).

The Prophet (saw) had a slave, and he called him the Ship:

Ibnul Qayyim said: Safeenah ibn Farookh, whose real name was Mihraan, but the Messenger of Allaah (peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him) called him Safeenah ("ship") because they used to make him carry their luggage when they traveled, so he said, "You are a ship (anta safeenah)." Abu Haatim said that the Messenger of Allaah (peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him) freed him; someone else said that Umm Salamah freed him. Zaad al-Ma'aad, 1/114-116

When the Prophet (saw) captured the Jewish tribe, Banu Quraida
After they broke their peace treaty with the Prophet (saw)
They wanted Sa'ad Ibn Muadh (ra) to judge their affairs (what to do with them)
Sa'ad said his verdict is that their fighting men should be killed and women taken as slaves

Narrated Sa'id Al-Khudri (RA): Some people (i.e. the Jews of Bani Quraidah) agreed to accept the verdict of Saad Ibn Muaadh (RA), so the Prophet (PBUH) sent for him. He came riding a donkey, and when he approached the mosque, the Prophet (PBUH) said, "Get up for the best amongst you." Or said, "Get up for your chief." Then the Prophet (PBUH) said, "O Saad! These people have agreed to (accept) your verdict." Saad Ibn Muaadh (RA) said, "I judge that their warriors should be killed and their children & women should be taken as captives." The Prophet (PBUH) said, "You have given a judgement similar to Allah's judgement." (Hadith No. 3804, Book of Merits of Al-Ansar, Sahih Bukhari, Vol. 5).

The Prophet (saw) said Saad's judgement was similar to Allah's judgement
As mentioned in the above Hadith. If Saad's judgement is similar to Allah's judgement, this means Allah approves of enslaving the infidels.

I wonder where they got their ideology from
I brought you Quran and hadith to show these 'scholars'
Who put together this letter are wicked scholars
They are trying to water down Islam to please and appease the kuffaar

On the authority of Jabir the Messenger of Allah (SAW) said: "... Jihad is compulsory on you until Judgment Day..." [al-Mu'jam al-Awsat al-Tabarani (5/95) No. 4775 and Abu Naeem al-Asbahani in 'Hilyatul Awliya' (3/73)]

You can't separate booty from jihad
The women we capture are spoils of war
These wicked scholars are not properly educated

Another rule is if you get your slave pregnant, you cannot sell her
You can go to the auction and buy a slave - you don't have to go to war to own a slave
There are a lot of rules about slaves

POINT 13: It is forbidden in Islam to force people to convert.

Many say let there be no compulsion in the deen quoting 2:256

There is no compulsion in religion. Verily, the Right Path has become distinct from the wrong path. Whoever disbelieves in Taghut and believes in Allah, then he has grasped the most trustworthy handhold that will never break. And Allah is All-Hearer, All-Knower. (Al-Baqarah 2:256)

Shaykh Bin Baaz says I beg to differ

Some friends say that whoever does not enter Islam, that is his choice and he should not be forced to become Muslim, quoting as evidence the verses in which Allaah says (interpretation of the meaning): "And had your Lord willed, those on earth would have believed, all of them together. So, will you (O Muhammad) then compel mankind, until they become believers" [Yoonus 10:99] "There is no compulsion in religion" [al-Baqarah 2:256] What is your opinion concerning that?

Praise be to Allaah. The scholars explained that these two verses, and other similar verses, have to do with those from whom the jizyah may be taken, such as Jews, Christians and Magians (Zoroastrians). They are not to be forced, rather they are to be given the choice between becoming Muslim or paying the jizyah. Other scholars said that this applied in the beginning, but was subsequently abrogated by Allaah's command to fight and wage jihad. So whoever refuses to enter Islam should be fought when the Muslims are able to fight, until they either enter Islam or pay the jizyah if they are among the people who may pay jizyah. The kuffaar should be compelled to enter Islam if they are not people from whom the jizyah may be taken, because that will lead to their happiness and salvation in this world and in the Hereafter. Obliging a person to adhere to the truth in which is guidance and happiness is better for him than falsehood. Just as a person may be forced to do the duty that he owes to other people even if that is by means of imprisonment or beating, so forcing the kaafirs to believe in Allaah alone and enter into the religion of Islam is more important and more essential, because this will lead to their happiness in this world and in the Hereafter. This applies unless they are People of the Book, i.e., Jews and Christians, or Magians, because Islam says that these three groups may be given the choice: they may enter Islam or they may pay the jizyah and feel themselves subdued. Some of the scholars are of the view that others may also be given the choice between Islam and jizyah, but the most correct view is that no others should be given this choice, rather these three groups are the only ones who may be given the choice (i.e. Jews, Christians and Zoroastrians), because the Prophet (peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him) fought the kuffaar in the Arabian Peninsula and he only accepted their becoming Muslim. And Allaah says (interpretation of the meaning): "But if they repent [by rejecting Shirk (polytheism) and accept Islamic Monotheism] and perform As-Salaah (Iqaamat-as-Salaah), and give Zakaah, then leave their way free. Verily, Allaah is Oft-Forgiving, Most Merciful" [al-Tawbah 9:5] [Majmoo' Fataawa wa Maqaalaat li'l-Shaykh Ibn Baaz, 6/219]

Shaikh Bin Baaz is saying you cannot force them (Jews, Christians and Zoroastrians) To embrace Islam because there is a second option for them - to pay Jizya. This isn't meant for the Yazidis

**You cannot drive around in Europe or America without car insurance
Even here in Jamaica they can seize your car if you are caught without car insurance
Which is more important? - To believe in Allah or buy insurance?
The kuffaar are forcing you to buy car insurance
They are forcing you to have Obamacare in USA**

**But it is more IMPORTANT to believe in Allah (swt)
This is why Shaykh Bin Baaz has no reservations about forcing a kaafir to believe in Allah
and take the shahada**

**When Allah said "let there be no compulsion in religion" Allah is talking about Jews,
Christians and the Zoroastrians in this verse because they have the option of paying jizya.
Hence, we can't force them to embrace Islam.
Allah is not talking about the Yazidis because we cannot take jizya from them.
Nor the Hindus or the Buddhists
The Yazidis worship the shaitan
The Yazidis have to take shahada only or die
Out of mercy for them, the Caliph said they can leave the Dawla
But when the Islamic State catches up with them in the territories they moved to
They will be forced to embrace Islam or die**

POINT 14: It is forbidden in Islam to deny women their rights.

**They accuse the dawla of denying women their rights
They slandered the Dawla saying they practiced FGM (female genital mutilation)
This is big lie
FGM is haram because of the following the ayah**

**Verily, I will mislead them, and surely, I will arouse in them false desires; and certainly, I
will order them to slit the ears of cattle, and indeed I will order them to change the nature
created by Allah." And whoever takes Shaitan (Satan) as a Wali (protector or helper) instead
of Allah, has surely suffered a manifest loss. (An-Nisa 4:119)**

**These wicked scholars say the Dawla doesn't allow women to work,
Go to school or university; they lie
In the Dawla women work, drive cars, and go to university and schools
They have women only bazaars
Men can't work there, men are not allowed in there.**

**In the Hadith below, the Prophet (saw) said a believer cannot lie and these wicked scholars
are liars**

**On the authority of Safwan ibn Sulaym who said: "The Messenger of Allah (SAW) was asked,
'Can the mumin be a coward?' He said, 'Yes.' He was asked, 'Can the mumin be a miser?' He
said, 'Yes.' He was asked, 'Can the mumin be a liar?' He said, 'No.'" [Muwatta Malik (2/169)
No. 2088, Shu'ab al-Eman al-Bayhaqi (6/456) No. 4472, Makarim al-Akhlaq Ibn Abi al-Dunya
(pg. 54) No. 147, Mishkat al-Masabih (3/1364) No. 4862]**

**Abu Huraira narrated the Messenger of Allah (SAWS) said: "It is enough to call a man a liar
that he narrates everything he hears" [Sahih Muslim (1/10) No. 5, Musannaf Ibn Abi
Shaybah (5/237) No. 25617, al-Zuhd al-Ahmad Ibn Hanbal (p. 41) No. 249]**

**O you who believe! If a rebellious evil person comes to you with a news, verify it, lest you
harm people in ignorance, and afterwards you become regretful to what you have done. (Al-
Hujurat 49:6)**

When you hear things about the Dawla (FMG, Caliph is a Jew, selling oil to Israel. etc.)

Do not believe these news before verifying them as stated in the above ayah

They also say the Dawla is raping women

Yazidi girl raped by Peshmerga (Kurds): http://www.liveleak.com/view?i=af4_1414334071

The Dawla is not doing this, the Peshmerga are. (see the above link)

Don't believe their propaganda

How can you say the Dawla deny woman their rights?

The Dawla work more for the release of woman in captivity, than any other group.

They were trying to release Dr. Afia Siddiqi

As a swap for James Foley (link below)

James Foley beheading: Isis 'demanded release' of female Pakistani scientist Dr Aafia Siddiqui and others in exchange for Western

hostages: <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/middle-east/james-foley-beheading-isis-demanded-release-of-female-pakistani-scientist-dr-aafia-siddiqui-and-others-in-exchange-for-western-hostages-9685598.html>

No one else is campaigning for the honor of Muslim women

By having them released like the Dawla

These Muslim women have been raped and tortured in prison

Nobody else is campaigning for the honour of muslim women like the Dawla. The mujahideen of the Dawla are not dayouth; hence, they protect the honor of Muslim women. The mujahideen are not bighairat (without jealousy).

All the points by the wicked scholars can be easily refuted

Because they lie and when you lie, you can be disgraced

They are out of touch with reality and clueless

ISIS hostage Kenji Goto issues audio for Sajida al-

Rishawi: <http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2929389/Mother-makes-emotional-appeal-Japanese-leader-save-son.html>

POINT 15: It is forbidden in Islam to deny children their rights.

The children in the Dawla are very happy

The Dawla held a Kurdish boy who was 15 years old

This boy was given an interview by the CNN

The boy said ISIS is right and are upon the haqq

KURDISH BOY TOLD CNN THAT ISIS IS RIGHT: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wx-duzk6fhc>

After the interview, the Kurds (PKK) killed this boy

Because he was a Kurdish boy and supported the Dawla

He was taught Islam and was treated well

All the children in the dawla are happy

It is you the kuffaar who make their lives hell by bombing them

You are the ones destroying their happiness because you target civilians

I saw a film with a lot of 10 year old boys training to fight for the dawla and they are zealous about it. They are given lots of gifts on Eid day and entertainment.

POINT 16: It is forbidden in Islam to enact legal punishments (hudud) without following the correct procedures that ensure justice and mercy.

They are trying to say the Dawla has no Shariah court
The people of the Dawla will tell you they no longer have to bribe the judges to get justice
Before they used to pay bribes and even after that, they may not even have justice
Now that the Dawla is in place, they can get justice
Criminals are being treated accordingly
This point is a slander against the Dawla
Because there is no kangaroo court in the dawla

POINT 17: It is forbidden in Islam to torture people.

Who told you torture is forbidden in Islam?
The scholars use the following ayah to support torture:

The recompense of those who wage war against Allah and His Messenger and do mischief in the land is only that they shall be killed or crucified or their hands and their feet be cut off on the opposite sides, or be exiled from the land. That is their disgrace in this world, and a great torment is theirs in the Hereafter. (Al-Ma'idah 5:33)

The following hadiths also refutes this point:

Ata' bin Abi Rabah said, "I went to Ibn 'Abbas and found him drawing water from the well of Zamzam. The bottom of his clothes was wet with the water of Zamzam and I said to him, 'They talked about Al-Qadar (some denied it).' He asked, 'Have they done this?' I said, 'Yes.' He said, 'By Allah! This Ayah was revealed only about them, ("Taste you the touch of Hell!" Verily, We have created all things with Qadar.) They are the worst members of this Ummah. Do not visit those who fall ill among them or pray the Funeral prayer for those among them who die. If I saw one of them, I would pluck out his eyes with these two fingers of mine." [Tafsir Ibn Kathir (7/483), Tafsir Ibn Abi Hatim (10/3321) No. 18715, Ithaf al-Khiyarah al-Maharah al-Busayri (6/280) No. 5846, its narrators are Thiqat (trustworthy)]

Narrated Anas: The climate of Medina did not suit some people, so the Prophet (ﷺ) ordered them to follow his shepherd, i.e. his camels, and drink their milk and urine (as a medicine). So they followed the shepherd that is the camels and drank their milk and urine till their bodies became healthy. Then they killed the shepherd and drove away the camels. When the news reached the Prophet (ﷺ) he sent some people in their pursuit. When they were brought, he cut their hands and feet and their eyes were branded with heated pieces of iron. Sahih al-Bukhari 5686: Vol. 7, Book 71, Hadith 590

The two Hadiths above are used by the scholars to say torture is allowed in Islam

POINT 18: It is forbidden in Islam to disfigure the dead.

They are trying to say mutilation is haram

Ibn 'Abbas who reported that, on the day Hamzah was killed and mutilated, the Messenger of Allah, Allah bless him and give him peace, said: "If I get my hand on the Quraysh I will mutilate seventy men of them. Allah, exalted is He, then revealed (If ye punish, then punish with the like of that wherewith ye were afflicted. But if ye endure patiently, verily it is better for the patient), upon which the Messenger of Allah, Allah bless him and give him peace, said: 'I would rather be patient, O Lord!' Asbab Al-Nuzul by Al-Wahidi Page 103

The kuffar of Quraish cut off the private parts of the shahabah and took it back to Makkah and laughed about it. Muhammad (saw) was so upset, he wanted to take revenge by mutilating 70 of them for what they did to his uncle, Hamza. Then Allah (swt) revealed the following Ayah and the Prophet (saw) decided not to mutilate the bodies of his enemies:

And if you punish (your enemy, O you believers in the Oneness of Allah), then punish them with the like of that with which you were afflicted. But if you endure patiently, verily, it is better for As-Sabirin (the patient ones, etc.). (An-Nahl 16:126)

**This doesn't say mutilation is wrong, it is saying to be patient is better
The scholars agree you are allowed to mutilate their dead if they mutilate your dead
Allah (swt) said sabr is better
Because to mutilate is childish behavior
He is already dead, why mutilate?**

**The Prophet (saw) had to give away charity because he said wallahi he would mutilate them
If you say wallahi and did not fulfil your oath
You have to free a slave, feed or cloth 10 poor people or fast for 3 days**

The following Hadith speaks about mutilation as well:

It was narrated from Samurah that the Messenger of Allah said: "Whoever kills his slave, we will kill him: whoever mutilates (his slave). We will mutilate him, and whoever castrates (his slave), we will castrate him." Sunan an-Nasa': Vol. 5, Book 45, Hadith 7440

**These scholars are so jahil, they don't even know what they are saying
The more you read the letter the more you realise how jahil they are**

POINT 19: It is forbidden in Islam to attribute evil acts to God ﷻ.

**Fighting the Alawites is not an evil action
It is a noble action
Because Ibn Taymiyyah said they are worse than Jews and Christians in their kufr
They are the worst kuffaar**

All Praise is for Allah, Lord of all worlds. These people named "Al-Nusayriyyah", and other groups from among the Qaraamitah and Baatiniyyah, are greater disbelievers than the Jews and Christians....[Majmoo` al-Faatwaa 35/145]

**They are saying that the Mujahideen attribute the killing of people to Allah (swt)
When they kill the people
We refute them by saying Allah told us in the Quran that when you shoot**

It is not you but Him who shot
Meaning you only pull the trigger but the reach came from Him (swt)
So Allah killed them (8:17)

You killed them not, but Allah killed them. And you (Muhammad SAW) threw not when you did throw but Allah threw, that He might test the believers by a fair trial from Him. Verily, Allah is All-Hearer, All-Knower. (Al-Anfal 8:17)

Look how easy it is to refute these wicked scholars...

Abu Muhammad al Maqdasi said beheading is a bid'ah started by the Dawla

We are going to refute the above statement
Abu Muhammad al Maqdasi and Abu Qatada have gone astray
Because they don't support the Dawla.

The following Ayah refutes the false claim of Abu Muhammad al Maqdasi:

So when you meet those who disbelieve [in battle], strike [their] necks until, when you have inflicted slaughter upon them, then secure their bonds, and either [confer] favor afterwards or ransom [them] until the war lays down its burdens. (Muhammad 47:4) Sahih International

The following Hadith refutes the false statement of Maqdisi

Al-Hafiz Abu Ishaq Ibrahim bin `Abdur-Rahman bin Ibrahim bin Duhaym recorded that Damrah narrated that two men took their dispute to the Prophet (SAW), and he gave a judgment to the benefit of whoever among them had the right. The person who lost the dispute said, "I do not agree." The other person asked him, "What do you want then" He said, "Let us go to Abu Bakr As-Siddiq." They went to Abu Bakr and the person who won the dispute said, "We went to the Prophet (SAW) with our dispute and he issued a decision in my favor." Abu Bakr said, "Then the decision is that which the Messenger of Allah (SAW) issued." The person who lost the dispute still rejected the decision and said, "Let us go to `Umar bin Al-Khattab." When they went to `Umar, the person who won the dispute said, "We took our dispute to the Prophet (SAW) and he decided in my favor, but this man refused to submit to the decision." `Umar bin Al-Khattab asked the second man and he concurred. `Umar went to his house and emerged from it holding aloft his sword. He struck the head of the man who rejected the Prophet's decision with the sword and killed him. Consequently, Allah revealed, [فَلَا وَرَبِّكَ لَا يُؤْمِنُونَ حَتَّىٰ يُحَكِّمُوكَ فِيمَا شَجَرَ بَيْنَهُمْ] (But no, by your Lord, they can have no Faith, until they make you judge in all disputes between them... (An-Nisa 4:65)). [Tafseer Ibn Katheer (2/351-352)]

The other evidence is the story of Khalid Ibn Abdullah al Qasree

'Abdur-Rahmaan Ibn Muhammad Ibn Habeeb Ibn Abee Habeeb on the authority of his father, on the authority of his grandfather [who] said: I witnessed Khaalid Ibn 'Abdullah Al-Qasree - and he addressed them at Waasit - "Oh People, make sacrifice, may Allah accept it from you. Verily I am sacrificing Al-Ja'd Ibn Dirham, for indeed he claims that Allah did not take Ibrahim as a khaleel (close friend), and [that] He did not speak to Moosa. Most Perfect is He and exalted is He from what Al-Ja'd says." Then he descended and slaughtered him. I say: And the Jahmiyyah and Mu'tazilah speak with this, and they distort the text of the

revelation regarding that, and they claim that the Lord is purified of that (i.e. having spoken to Moses and taken Ibrahim as a khalil). [Mukhtasar al-Uluww of adh-Dhahabi, (p.134)]

They said burning the pilot was a mistake by the Dawla
Because of a Hadith which states only Allah is allowed to punish people with fire

Ibn Mas'ud said, "We were with the Messenger of Allah (SAW) on a journey and he went to relieve himself. We saw a red bird with two chicks and took the chicks. The red bird came and began to flap its wings. The Prophet (SAW) came back and said, 'Who has distressed this bird by taking its young? Return her young to her.' He saw an ant hill which we had set alight. He said, 'Who has set fire to this?' We replied, 'We did.' He said, 'Only the Lord of the Fire should punish with fire.'" [Sunan Abu Dawud (3/55) No. 2675]

They don't understand the Hadith
If a man commits the crime of homosexuality, you can't kill him with fire
However, if a man kills a man with fire you can kill the man with fire
Because Imam Nawawi (rh) said it
There is a Hadith that says if you torch a Muslim, we can torch you

Islam began as something strange and will return as something strange so give good news to the strangers. Allah said in the following Ayah, we are allowed to punish our enemies the same way they inflict harm on us:

And if you punish (your enemy, O you believers in the Oneness of Allah), then punish them with the like of that with which you were afflicted. (An-Nahl 16:126)

Abu Hurayrah narrated: The Messenger of Allah (SAW) said: "Islam began as something strange and will revert to being strange as it began, so give glad tidings to the strangers." [Sahih Muslim (1/130) No. 145]

POINT 20: It is forbidden in Islam to destroy the graves and shrines of Prophets and Companions.

They are trying to say the Dawla is destroying the graves of saints, scholars etc.
We say that the Dawla understands the fiql waqi of its people more than us
And the people of Mosul were grave worshippers before the Dawla came
The Naqshabandi Tariqa (Sufis) used to worship graves
And the people of Mosul use to follow this tariqa
They worshipped the graves of the prophets and sahabah that are buried there

Abu'l-Hayyaj al-Asadi told that 'Ali (b. Abu Talib) said to him: Should I not send you on the same mission as Allah's Messenger (ﷺ) sent me? Do not leave an image without obliterating it, or a high grave without levelling It. This hadith has been reported by Habib with the same chain of transmitters and he said: (Do not leave) a picture without obliterating it. Sahih Muslim: Book 4, Hadith 2115

Narrated Jabir: I heard the Prophet (ﷺ) forbid to sit on the grave, to plaster it with gypsum, and to build any structure over it. Sunan Abi Dawud : Book 20, Hadith 3219

The Dawla destroyed many places of worship because there was a grave inside of it
It is haram to pray in a masjid when there is a grave inside
The masjid of the Prophet (saw) should have been demolished
And the grave should have been outside of it
Muhammad Ibn Abdul Wahhab was going to do that
But the people begged him not to do so
According to Shariah law, when there is a grave inside of a masjid
You have to destroy the masjid

The Prophet (saw) ask Allah not to allow his grave to become an idol after him
A grave is an idol

Yahya related to me from Malik from Zayd ibn Aslam from Ata ibn Yasar that the Messenger of Allah (SAW) said, "O Allah! Do not make my grave an idol that is worshipped. The anger on those who took the graves of their Prophets as places of prostration was terrible."
[Muwatta Malik (1/223) No. 570, Musannaf Abdur Razzaq (1/406) No. 1587, Musnad Ahmad (2/246) No. 7352]

So how can the Dawla allow a grave to remain erect when it is worshipped by the people?

On the authority of Abu Sa'eed al-Khudree (RA) who said: I heard the Messenger of Allah (SAW) say, "Whosoever of you sees an evil, let him change it with his hand; and if he is not able to do so, then [let him change it] with his tongue; and if he is not able to do so, then with his heart — and that is the weakest of faith." [Sahih Muslim (1/69) No. 49, Musnad Ahmad (3/10) No. 11088, Sunan Ibn Majah (5/145) No. 4013, Sunan Tirmidhi (4/469) No. 2172, Sunan Abu Dawud (1/296) No. 1140, Sunan al-Nasa'i (8/112) No. 5009]

If you don't hate the evil in your heart, you are a fake Muslim

POINT 21: Armed insurrection is forbidden in Islam for any reason other than clear disbelief by the ruler and not allowing people to pray.

We have seen many layers of kufr from the leaders
These people who wrote this letter are Ghulat Murji (extreme murji – Liberal)
They do not make takfir even when the text is clear
These people will say the kufr of the leaders is not clear.
Bashar al Assad is Nusayriyyah and they are the worst kuffaar

All Praise is for Allah, Lord of all worlds. These people named "Al-Nusayriyyah", and other groups from among the Qaraamitah and Baatiniyyah, are greater disbelievers than the Jews and Christians...[Majmoo` al-Faatwaa 35/145]

According to the above fatwa of Ibn Taymiyyah (rh), the Nusayriyyah are the worst of kuffar

We have seen the kufr of the leaders taking sides with the kuffaar
The crusaders to kill Muslims

Muhammad Ibn Abdul Wahhab (rh) says the present leaders are kuffaar
He put together 10 things that make you a kaafir
One of them is helping the kuffaar against the Muslims

Muhammad Ibn Abdul Wahhab - The 10 Nullifiers of

Islam: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=awD4K3QbzNA&list=PLxpC5y1NOG5d4CgwSa6gNw8WFuTMFatUU&index=8>

Sheikh Muhammad ibn Abdul Wahab, may Allah have mercy on him, gathered ten actions that negate one's Islam (Nawaqid ul-'Ashr), the eighth one of which states: "Assisting the disbelievers (against the believers) (Mudhaharatul Mushrikeen) and supporting them against the believers (is from the actions that negates of one's faith). The Proof being the statement of Allah, "And if any amongst you takes them as Auliya', then surely he is one of them. Verily, Allah guides not those people who are the Zalimun (polytheists and wrong-doers and unjust). (The Quran 5:51)."

Muhammad Ibn Abdul Wahhab have made takfir against the present leaders

With the above fatwa

Because they are helping the crusaders against the Muslims

They have been helping the crusaders long before the dawla came

The leaders have dismantled the Shariah and are kuffaar according to Bin Baaz

Shaikh 'Abdul-'Azeez Ibn 'Abdullah Ibn Baaz said: "There is no Eeman for the one who believes the laws of the people and their opinions are superior to the Hukm of Allah and His Messenger or that they are equal to it or that they resemble it or who leaves it or replaces it with fabricated laws and institutions invented by people, even if he believes that the laws of Allah are more encompassing and more just." [Wujoob Tah'keem Shari'ah Allah' (pg. 16-17)]

According to Ibn Kathir (rh), the arm resistance of the Dawla is a barakah (blessing)

Because they are fighting those people who dislodge the shariah

Ibn Katheer (rh) said: "Thus, it has become in his sons a followed law to which they have been giving precedence over ruling by the book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger (SAW). Whoever does this is a Kaafir who must be fought until he returns to the rule of Allah and His Messenger. So no one other than He should rule neither minorly or majorly." [Tafseer Ibn Katheer (3/131)]

When you say armed resistance is not allowed, until we see clear kufr

We have seen many layers of kufr from the leaders

They have joined the UN and helped them starve 1 million Iraqi children to death

If armed resistance is not allowed against the leader,

Why did you take sides with the homosexual, Lawrence of Arabia in 1924

To destroy the Islamic Caliphate?

On the authority of Ubada bin As-Samit the Messenger of Allah (SAW) said: "...topple the leader if you see clear kufr..." [al-Bukhari (7056) and Muslim (1709)]

The Prophet Muhammad (saw) said in a Hadith found in Bukhari

That we should topple the leaders if we see clear kufr (above)

And we have seen many layers of kufr from the leaders

POINT 22: It is forbidden in Islam to declare a caliphate without consensus from all Muslims.

WHAT A BIG LIE!

Only the ignorant person would believe this lie
According to shariah law, all we need to declare a Caliphate is
A verdict from the important people of the Ummah
Who make crucial decisions for the Ummah
They are called Ahlul Hall wal Aqd
So whenever you read Islamic books and you see this word,
It means the people with gravitas
When Umar (ra) was stabbed, and he realised that he was going to die
He selected 6 people and said that they should only select the Caliph from those 6 people
Only 6 people decided the Caliphate; not everyone
The reason these wicked scholars say that is because they believe in Democracy, so they are
Democrats and not Muslims

When Umar was stabbed, he said: I do not think any person has more right for the caliphate than those with whom Allah's Apostle (p.b.u.h) was always pleased till his death. And whoever is chosen by the people after me will be the caliph, and you people must listen to him and obey him," and then he mentioned the name of 'Uthman, 'Ali, Talha, Az-Zubair, 'Abdur-Rahman bin 'Auf and Sad bin Abi Waqqas. [Sahih Bukhari, Vol 2, Book 23, Hadith #475]

This hadith flushes down the drain their rubbish claim
I can't believe they call themselves scholars and don't know this Hadith!

The Vatican in Rome copied Umar (ra) when they chose a Pope
A group of cardinals sat in a room to select the Pope.
Imam Nawawi said in the following fatwa it is not required for all Muslims to chose a Caliph:

Al-Nawawi (rh) said: With regard to bay'ah (oath of allegiance): the scholars are agreed that in order for it to be valid it is not essential for all the people or all the decision makers to give their bay'ah. Rather, if bay'ah is given by those scholars and people of virtue and status who are present, that is sufficient. It is not obligatory for each person to come to the leader and put his hand in his and give his oath of allegiance to him. Rather what is required of each individual is to submit to him and not go against him or rebel against him. [Sharh Sahih Muslim (12/77)]

These people who signed this letter all believe in Democracy
If they claim that Abu Bakr Baghdadi (HA) seized the power, how do you refute them?
We say to them, according to Shariah law,
If a strong man seizes power you have to obey him IF he implements Shariah
Even if he seized the power by force. Such a Caliph is called Imam Mutaghallib

Imam Ahmad Ibn Hanbal stated: "Whoever rises against any Imam of the Muslims, where the people have gathered together and consent to the Khalifah by him even if it was done so by force, anyone that rises against such a person will be committing disobedience and contradict what Muhammad (SAW) taught." [al-Madkhal ila Madhab al-Imam Ahmad ibn Hanbal by Abdul Qadir Badran (pg. 79)]

Ibn Qudamah said: "Whoever is appointed to the post of Khalifah and the people agree he has become Khalifah even if he forced himself over them by the sword until they called him Khalifah, then it is obliged to obey him and forbidden to rise against him." [al-Mughni (8/526)]

Imam Al-Nawawi said, "The opinion of the A'immah regarding the Imam who takes authority by force is that it is valid, provided that he implements the Shari'ah even if he was Fāsiq or Jāhil." [Rawdat al-Talibeen (10/46)]

Shaykh Muhammad ibn Saalih al-'Uthaymeen (rh) said: If a man rebels and seizes power, the people must obey him, even if he seizes power by force and without their consent, because he has seized power. The reason for that is that if his rule is contested, it will lead to a great deal of evil, and this is what happened during the Umayyad period when some of them seized power by means of force and gained the title of caliph, and people obeyed them in obedience to the command of Allaah. End quote. Sharh al-'Aqeedah al-Safaareniyyah (p. 688)

POINT 23: Loyalty to one's nation is permissible in Islam.

To love your country is a part of Imaan. (Classified fabricated by Saghaani)

**We say to them the Hadith to support your country is a part of Iman is a fabricated hadith
If our country is darul harb we will not love it
We will only be loyal to a country that implements the Shariah**

The Hadith "Hubbul Watan Minal Imaan" (Love for one's country is a branch of faith) does not exist. There is no any authentic proof that the Prophet (saws) ever said such a Hadith. Allama Taahir Pe'nee (Tazkiratul Mauzuaat:pg.11), Allama ibn Durvesh shaafi (Usnul Mutalib:pg.124), Allama Shaukaani (Al Mauzoo:pg.91), Allama Saghaani (Mauzooath:pg.53), have all declared this Hadith to be fabricated and false.

**We say to them, Your stance is called Asabiyyah and asabiyyah is haram
Abraham (as) made baraa from his people because they were not Muslims
Asabiyyah = racism, tribalism**

Indeed there has been an excellent example for you in Ibrāhim (Abraham) and those with him, when they said to their people: "Verily, we are free from you and whatever you worship besides Allāh, we have rejected you, and there has started between us and you, hostility and hatred for ever, until you believe in Allāh Alone," except the saying of Ibrāhim (Abraham) to his father: "Verily, I will ask for forgiveness (from Allāh) for you, but I have no power to do anything for you before Allāh." Our Lord! In You (Alone) we put our trust, and to You (Alone) we turn in repentance, and to You (Alone) is (our) final Return, (Al-Mumtahinah 60:4)

**We will not support a country unless the country implements shariah
58:22 also refutes their claim**

You (O Muhammad SAW) will not find any people who believe in Allāh and the Last Day, making friendship with those who oppose Allāh and His Messenger (Muhammad SAW), even though they were their fathers, or their sons, or their brothers, or their kindred (people). For such He has written Faith in their hearts, and strengthened them with Rûh (proofs, light and true guidance) from Himself. And We will admit them to Gardens (Paradise) under which rivers flow, to dwell therein (forever). Allāh is pleased with them, and they with Him. They are the Party of Allāh. Verily, it is the Party of Allāh that will be the successful. (Al-Mujadilah 58:22)

**Many Mujahideen burned their passports when they make it to the dawla
Because loyalty is only to a country that implements shariah**

Narrated Jubair ibn Mut'im: The Messenger of Allah (SAW), said: "He is not one of us who calls for `Asabiyah, (nationalism/tribalism) or who fights for `Asabiyah or who dies for `Asabiyah." [Sunan Abu Dawud (Vol. 2, pg. 753) No. 5121]

Abu Hurairah narrated that the Messenger of Allah (saw) said: "One who fights under the banner of a people who are blind to the cause for which they are fighting, (i.e. do not know whether their cause is just or unjust) who get flared up with Asabya, calls people to fight for their Asabiya. And supports his kith and kin (i.e. fights not for the cause of Allah but for the sake of his asabiyya) if he is killed (in this fight) he dies as one belonging to the days of Jahiliyyah (the pre-Islamic days of ignorance). (Sahih Muslim (English trans.) Vol. 3 pg.1030

POINT 24: After the death of the Prophet ﷺ , Islam does not require anyone to emigrate anywhere.

WHAT A BIG LIE!

This last point is so sick it can make you vomit!

Even a 12 year old can refute this

These wicked scholars are living in darul harb

And are enjoying the comfort of Europe and America

So they put this crap in the letter to justify living in darul harb

They are lying, and most of them are kuffaar

The Prophet (saw) said hijrah is mandatory till the sun rises from the west:

It was narrated by Mu'awiya that he heard the Prophet (SAW) said: "Hijrah will not be terminate until Tawbah is terminated, and Tawbah will not be terminated until sun rises from the west" [al-Tareekh al-Kabeer al-Bukhari (9/80) No. 770, Abu Dawud (3/3) No. 2479, Sunan al-Darime (2/312) No. 2513, Musnad Ahmad (4/99) No. 16952, al-Sunan al-Kubra al-Nasa'i (8/67) No. 8658]

**If these are your scholars, I feel sorry for the Muslims
Because most of these scholars are kuffaar**

"I am free from every Muslim that lives among the idolaters." [Sunan Tirmidhi (4/155) No. 1604 and Sunan Abu Dawud (3/45) No. 2645]

It was narrated from Samurah that the Prophet (SAW) said: "Do not live among the mushrikeen and do not mix with them, for whoever lives among them or mixes with them is not one of us." [al-Sunan al-Kubra al-Bayhaqi (9/240) No. 18420 and Mustadrak al-Haakim (2/154) No. 2627. adh-Dhahabi graded this on the conditions of Bukhari and Muslim]

Verily! As for those whom the angels take (in death) while they are wronging themselves (as they stayed among the disbelievers even though emigration was obligatory for them), they (angels) say (to them): "In what (condition) were you?" They reply: "We were weak and oppressed on earth." They (angels) say: "Was not the earth of Allāh spacious enough for you to emigrate therein?" Such men will find their abode in Hell - What an evil destination! (An-Nisa 4:97)

**Because of this Ayah, the scholars agree that hijrah is compulsory on you
Until Day Of Judgement
If you are living in a country that stops you from implementing your deen**

Shaykh ul Islam Ibn Taymiyyah (rh) said, "The state of a place reflects the state of a person. It is possible to be sometimes a Muslim and at other times a disbeliever; sometimes sincere and at other times hypocritical; sometimes good and pious and at other times rotten and corrupt. Thus, a person becomes like the place of his abode. The migration of a person from a land of disbelief and profanity to one of faith and probity is an expression of repentance and of his turning away from disobedience and perversion to belief and obedience. This is so until the Day of Resurrection." [Majmu'al-Fatawa, (18/284)]

**Just for you to leave darul harb to go to darul Islam, all of your sins are forgiven
Hijrah wipes away all the sins committed before it**

Ibn Hazm (rh) states that: "Whoever joins the 'land of war' and disbelief, of his own free will and in defiance of whoever amongst the Muslims calls him to his side, is by virtue of this act an apostate, by all the laws of apostasy, in Islam. Whoever is able to kill him must do so. His property is unprotected, his marriage null and void, all his rights are swept away. [Ibn Hazm, al-Muhalla': (13/139-140)]

If a person becomes a Muslim in a kaafir land and he is not able to practise his religion openly and establish regular worship, or he fears for himself tribulation regarding his religious commitment, and he cannot protect his honour, then he is obliged to migrate, because the Prophet SAWS (peace and blessings of Allaah be upon him) said: "I have nothing to do with any Muslim who settles among the mushrikeen. But if he is able to practise his religion openly and establish regular worship, and he is able to migrate, then it is mustahabb for him to migrate, but in this case it is not obligatory. Ibn Qudaamah (may Allaah have mercy on him) said in al-Mughni: It is mustahabb for him (to migrate) so that he will be able to wage jihad against them – i.e., the kuffaar – and he will increase the numbers of the Muslims and support them. AL Mughni Vol 9, Pg 236

Question 1: Can a woman make hijrah without mahram?

Answer 1: Yes and the evidence is 60:10

O you who believe! When believing women come to you as emigrants, examine them, Allāh knows best as to their Faith, then if you ascertain that they are true believers, send them not back to the disbelievers, they are not lawful (wives) for the disbelievers nor are the disbelievers lawful (husbands) for them. But give the disbelievers that (amount of money) which they have spent [as their Mahr] to them. And there will be no sin on you to marry them if you have paid their Mahr to them. Likewise hold not the disbelieving women as wives, and ask for (the return of) that which you have spent (as Mahr) and let them (the disbelievers, etc.) ask back for that which they have spent. That is the Judgement of Allāh. He judges between you. And Allāh is All-Knowing, All-Wise. (Al-Mumtahinah 60:10)

The above ayah is evidence that women can make hijrah alone without a mahram, if her family are hypocrites, apostates or kuffaar because women did it in the time of the Prophet (saw) and Allah approved of their hijrah.

Question 2: Can a muslim brother who is married to a muslima be around or friends with a kafira who he committed adultery with just because they have older kids together? He does things like drive her to work, buys her things, and chats with her all in secret and when I find out he always uses the kids as his excuse. Is this a violation of taubah? Do I have the right to divorce and go back to my family? I have consulted with my family because he will not listen to me about the issue and they say he has violated his taubah. This is causing a lot of problems because he will not stay away from her.

**Answer 2: This person is confused
He has a weakness for the kuffaar women
A lot of revert men like this have baby mama drama
He has hypocrisy problems
I can't tell you what to do
You need to go for arbitration with your local Shaikh and discuss the problem with him
He is using the kids as an excuse to have a floozy on the side
Your problem cannot be solved on paltalk - contact your local Shaikh for counseling
He cannot be alone in a car with that woman anyway, because she is his weakness.
Remember the fatwa about living in darul harb by Ibn Taymiyyah
This is the fitna of darul harb**

Question 3: Salaam Shaykh, The only people of the book are the Christians, Jews and the Muslims as the clear evidence suggests. I understand there is a difference of opinion about whether anyone who is outside of this category should pay jizya or not. What I am asking is that do we have to kill them if they don't convert and if so, has this situation ever occurred in the first 3 generations of Islam? Is there evidence for this from Quran and Sunnah? Jzk

**Answer 3: Muslims are not people of the book
Didn't you see the fatwa from Bin Baaz? The people of the book are Jews and Christians
We don't take jizya from pagans
It's the shahada or the sword
We only have evidence that the Prophet (saw) took jizya from the Jews, Christians and Zoroastrians
Evidence was posted earlier**

Question 4: Shaikh you said that Shaikh Abu Mohammad Al Maqdisi and Abu Qatada left the haqq by not supporting dawla, is it not because they do not support the jihad of the dawla?

Answer 4: If you don't support the jihad of the Dawla, you do not support the dawla

Abu Mohammad Al Maqdisi is a broken idol
He used to be an idol among people
He said beheading was introduced by the dawla
We gave evidence to refute this lie with the Ayah, Surah 47:4 and two Hadiths
He fell from grace

Question 5: The deviants say that the Mujahideen are khawaarij, even if that was the case, since Ali (RA) said that the khawaarij are our brothers, wouldn't it still be kufr akbar to side against them with the kuffaar?

Answer 5: You are not allowed to side with the kuffaar against your brothers in deen
Ali (ra) called them Bughat (rebels) not kuffaar
Ali said, in the following Hadith, the khawaarij were running away from shirk and believed in Allah (swt)

Abu Bukhture asked Ali (RA) about people of Jamal. 'Are they Mushrikun?' He replied: "They ran from Shirk." Then I asked: 'Are they hypocrites?' He replied: "Hypocrites used to mention Allah rarely." He was asked: 'Then who are they?' Ali (RA) replied: "They are our brothers that rebelled against us." [Bayhaqi in 'Sunan al-Kubra' (8/173) No. 16490 and Ibn Abi Shaybah in 'al-Musannaf', (7/535) No. 37763]

If you call someone a khawaarij, you have to put your scholars to debate with their scholars in public because this is what Ali (ra) did. He sent Ibn Abbas to debate with their scholars and refuted them prior to the battle of Nahruwan 9th Safar, 38 A.H.

The hypocrites don't have the intestinal fortitude to come for a debate. All they do is slander and lie.

Question 6: Is it sufficient that a person is a kaafir to take them as right hand possess or do they have to fight against Islam?

Answer 6: They have to declare their hatred and enmity towards Islam
Their men have to fight against Islam and you take them after fighting
When you take them as right hand possess, the jihad can be offensive or defensive

Question 7: When the dawlah takes Medina is it obligatory upon them to destroy the Prophet's Mosque?

Answer 7: This is a matter called maslaha (public interest)
When you see videos of the Dawla blowing up these places,
It is because there are graves inside of them

Although we can kill hypocrites, the Prophet (saw) did not
Because he used maslaha (public interest)

O Prophet, fight against the disbelievers and the hypocrites and be harsh upon them. And their refuge is Hell, and wretched is the destination. (At-Tawbah 9:73)

And whosoever does not judge by what Allāh has revealed, such are the Kāfirûn (i.e. disbelievers - of a lesser degree as they do not act on Allāh's Laws). (Al-Ma'idah 5:44)

And whoever does not judge by what Allah has revealed - then it is those who are the disbelievers. (Al-Ma'idah 5:44)

This is an example of how some water down translations within Quran Sahih International (second post) is correct.

And make ready against them all you can of power, including steeds of war (tanks, planes, missiles, artillery, etc.) to **threaten** the enemy of Allāh and your enemy, and others besides whom, you may not know but whom Allāh does know. And whatever you shall spend in the Cause of Allāh shall be repaid unto you, and you shall not be treated unjustly. (Al-Anfal 8:60)

And prepare against them whatever you are able of power and of steeds of war by which you may **terrify** the enemy of Allah and your enemy and others besides them whom you do not know [but] whom Allah knows. And whatever you spend in the cause of Allah will be fully repaid to you, and you will not be wronged. (Al-Anfal 8:60)
Sahih International

Turhibuuna means to terrify and not to threaten
I feel sorry for people who cannot speak Arabic
Because they are at the mercy of another man (the translator)
And on many occasions, they lie
To answer your question, we say this is a matter for the Caliph to decide. He may destroy a section of the Prophet's (saw) mosque and rebuild it to exclude the grave of the Prophet (saw) from inside the mosque, because the Sufi's use this as their evidence to build masjids over graves.

Question 8: In surah Yusuf ALLAH uses the word deen (religion) when describing the laws that will be used against the younger brother of Yusuf. Deen is equated with the laws of the land that you live in?

Answer 8: Yes, this is an important observation
Allah used the word deen to describe the laws of the land you live in
The kuffaar say you need to drive with insurance
Else they will lock you up and throw away the key or they fine you
When the kaafir says men marry men and women marry women,
That is their deen

Allah uses the word deen in 12:76 to describe the law of the king

He could not take his brother by the law of the king (as a slave), except that Allāh willed it. (So Allāh made the brothers to bind themselves with their way of "punishment, i.e. enslaving of a thief.") We raise to degrees whom We please, but over all those endowed with knowledge is the All-Knowing (Allāh). (Yusuf 12:76)

The Saudi Salafi slanders Yusuf (as) saying he followed the law of the king
The also slander the prophet (saw) saying when he signed the treaty with Quraysh
It is just like joining the UN
He accused him of kufr
They are the worst kuffaar

Question 9: Salamun Alaika sheikh. The wicked scholars have used a narration from Ali Ibn Abu Talib (ra) describing some qualities of a group to come like long hair like women, kunyas, and names with towns. Can you elaborate on this trick by them?

Answer 9: This is a fabricated hadith
They use it to cause confusion
Do not quote this hadith
The word Daesh use to be a good word
Now they use the word Daesh for a bad meaning
It means a tear away
The hypocrites say Daesh because in Arabic it means to tear away from the norm